



RWTH AACHEN
UNIVERSITY



Reliability of Measurement of Trained Speech Patterns in Stuttering

Alpermann, A.¹, Huber, W.², Natke, U., Willmes, K.²

¹ Hogeschool Zuyd, ² University Clinic RWTH Aachen University

Introduction

- The majority of behavioral treatments for stuttering adults teach novel speech patterns that aim to enhance speech fluency.
- In Stuttering Modification approaches *local* techniques are trained to prevent or modify individual stuttering events (i.e. pull-out), whereas Fluency Shaping therapies teach a *global* speech pattern in order to avoid the occurrence of any stuttering moments.
- Thus, novel speech patterns are an important means to achieve controlled fluency.
- However, in outcome studies controlled and spontaneous fluency are not discriminated, which makes outcomes of different approaches hardly comparable.
- A modified version of **time-interval analysis** (Cordes, Ingham, Frank & Ingham, 1992) was regarded as a useful instrument to measure the amount of stuttered speech, spontaneous fluency and controlled fluency.

Aim

Determination of inter-judge and intra-judge agreement of modified time-interval analysis among German experts in stuttering.

Method

- Seventeen German experts in the field of stuttering judged an audio file on two occasions within two months.
- This audio file contained 4-seconds speech segments of 9 stuttering adults collected during telephone interviews.
- 3 speakers of the audio sample had followed a Stuttering Modification therapy, 3 had accomplished a Fluency Shaping therapy, 3 adults did not attend any treatment.
- For each speaker 12 intervals from the interview before and after treatment, resp. for the last group from two different assessments, were chosen at random, totaling in 216 intervals.
- Judges were asked to judge each interval in the following pause, deciding whether
 - speech was spontaneously fluent
 - one/more novel speech pattern(s) used
 - or whether stuttering occurred.

Results

Interval-by-interval inter-judge agreement

- Mean inter-judge agreement amounts to 88.2% on the first occasion.
- On the second occasion, inter-judge agreement decreases slightly to 87.3%.
- Median kappa-values confirm substantial inter-judge agreement on the first ($\kappa = 0.74$) and second ($\kappa = 0.70$) occasion.

Interval-by-interval intra-judge agreement

- Mean intra-judge agreement reached 80%.

Agreed intervals

- 135 of all 216 intervals (62.5%) were judged the same by 80% of the judges on both occasions.
- 51 of all 216 intervals (23.2%) were judged the same by *all* judges on both occasions.

Agreement per category

- Agreement was comparable for stuttered intervals, spontaneous fluent intervals and those containing trained speech pattern(s).
- Agreement for speech patterns trained in the Fluency Shaping therapy was slightly higher than for those of the Stuttering Modification therapy.

Conclusion

- Inter- and intra-judge agreement appear satisfactory and reach the standard criterion of 80%.
- The additional evaluation of novel speech patterns does not seem to attenuate reliability.
- In general, discrimination between spontaneous and controlled fluency allows comparisons of different treatment approaches and could help to investigate the link between relapse and (dis)use of acquired speech patterns.

A. Alpermann
Hogeschool Zuyd
Faculteit Gezondheid
en Techniek

Postbus 550
6400 AN Heerlen
a.alpermann@hszuyd.nl